

attorneys' fees, litigation costs and class representative service award. Richard Kellner, Esq. of Kabateck LLP and Michael Artinian, Esq. of Bridgford Gleason & Artinian having appeared for Plaintiffs and Bill Warne, Esq. and Meghan Baker, Esq. of Downey Brand having appeared for Defendants.

The Court has reviewed the final (and preliminary) approval motion papers, including the class notice and related forms, and is satisfied that the class notice procedures ordered by the Court were properly implemented. It appears to the Court that Class Members have been given notice of the Settlement and how to participate and receive their settlement shares, the opportunity to challenge their settlement amount, the election to exclude themselves from the Settlement, and the opportunity to comment on or object to the Settlement or any of its terms.

Having read and considered the Settlement and the papers filed in support of Plaintiffs' unopposed motion for final approval and Plaintiffs' and Class Counsel's papers requesting final approval of the Class Representative Service Award, the Class Counsel attorneys' fees, and the Class Counsel litigation costs (including the supporting declaration submitted by Makenna Snow of ILYM Group, Inc.), and the evidence and argument received by the Court on all of these motions.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over all Parties to this litigation, including all Class Members. This Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 or as otherwise provided under statute.
- 2. The Court is satisfied that ILYM Group, Inc., which functioned as the Settlement Administrator, fully performed its duties in connection with the Settlement Notice including: (a) performing a title search on the 151 properties applicable to this settlement (b) printing and mailing the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Final Hearing, Prior Owner Verification Form, and Request for Exclusion Form to the homeowners in the chain of title to the 151 properties; (c) receiving and processing any requests for exclusion; and (d) receiving and processing Prior Owner Verification

Forms, and mailing a letter to the current owner of those properties. The foregoing comports with California Rule of Court 3.766.

- a. ILYM shall also conduct an address skip trace on any returned settlement checks, with such returned checks re-mailed at least once. Any of the settlement checks that are not cashed (unless substituted) within 180 days shall be deposited to the California State Controller's Office under Unclaimed Property Law.
- 3. The Class Notice informed the Class Members of the Settlement terms, their rights to participate in the settlement, their right to challenge their estimated Settlement Amount, their rights to exclude themselves from the Settlement, their rights to comment on or object to the Settlement, and their rights to appear at the "Final Approval Hearing", and be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time to respond to the Class Notice were provided. The Settlement Administrator reports that no Class Members filed written objections to the Settlement as part of this notice process, and no Class Members filed a written statement of intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. In addition, the Settlement Administrator confirms that no one requested to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court recognizes that the participation rate in this Settlement is 100%.
 - a. There are no disputes concerning the proper class member to receive payment for any of the class homes.
- 4. The notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the Class Members' responses. The Court determines that the notice provided in this Action was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.
- 5. The Court grants final approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement, and final judgment under the terms therewith.
- 6. The Settlement and Settlement Agreement are fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class.

- 7. The Court finally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Settlement Class consistent with the Court's ruling granting preliminary approval on July 5, 2023:
 - (1) All present owners of residential homes in the Class Area whose copper pipe systems have not been replaced by prior owners of the homes; or (2) prior owners of homes in the Class Area who replaced their copper pipe system; provided that with regard to (1) and (2); (a) the homes were constructed by K. Hovnanian ("Builder") and the homes were substantially completed within (10) years of the filing of the original complaint in this action; and (b) the original purchase agreements were signed by the Builder on or after 01/01/2003, and (c) the SB 800 claims were not released, and (d) the original-purchaser class members were not compelled to arbitration by the Court or have not stipulated to arbitration by agreement of the parties as approved by the Court.
- 8. With respect to the Settlement Class, this Court finds that: (a) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous their joinder is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Plaintiff and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 9. The Court finally approves the Settlement, including the individual Settlement Amounts, as being fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class and to each Class Member, Plaintiffs have satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, and the Court grants final approval of the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court orders the Parties to comply with and carry out all terms and provisions of the Settlement.
- 10. The \$476,002.33 amount requested by Plaintiffs and Class Counsel for the Class Counsel Fees Payment is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders, the Class Counsel attorneys' fees payment to be made in accordance with the Settlement.
- 11. The Court finds that \$99,064.70 in Class Counsel's litigation expenses is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders, the Class Counsel's litigation expenses in this amount to be made in accordance with the Settlement.
- 12. The \$19,550.00 incurred by ILYM Group, Inc. (the Settlement Administrator) to date, which equals the cap on its Administrative Expenses, is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final

12

8

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

2223

21

24

2526

27

28

approval of, and orders, the Settlement Administrator be paid this amount in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

- 13. The \$10,000.00 amount requested by Plaintiff for the Incentive Award is fair and reasonable given the amount of time and effort Plaintiff expended, the benefits conferred on the Class, and the risks undertaken by them. The Court grants final approval of, and orders the Class Representative service award of \$10,000.00 to Kendall Brasch, to be made in accordance with the Settlement.
- 14. Upon entry of this Final Judgment, and in accordance with Section 5.2 of the Settlement Agreement, all Participating Settlement Class Members fully release Defendants, Cross-Defendants, and each and all of their past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, subcontractors, affiliated companies and corporations, and each and all of their respective past, present, and future directors, officers, managers, employees, general partners, limited partners, principals, agents, insurers, reinsurers, shareholders, attorneys, advisors, representatives, predecessors, successors, divisions, joint ventures, assigns, or related entities, and each and all of their respective executors, successors, assigns, and legal representatives, and any subcontractors hired by Defendants to construct or work on the homes listed on the Class Home List and each and all of their past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, subcontractors, affiliated companies and corporations, and each and all of their respective past, present, and future directors, officers, managers, employees, general partners, limited partners, principals, agents, insurers, reinsurers, shareholders, attorneys, advisors, representatives, predecessors, successors, divisions, joint ventures, assigns, or related entities, and each and all of their respective executors, successors, assigns, and legal representatives, as well as any supplier, manufacturer or distributor of copper pipe for potable water systems in the Settlement Class Members' homes and each and all of their past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, subcontractors, affiliated companies and corporations, and each and all of their respective past, present, and future directors, officers, managers, employees, general partners, limited partners, principals, agents, insurers, reinsurers, shareholders, attorneys, advisors, representatives, predecessors, successors, divisions, joint ventures, assigns, or related entities, and each and all of their respective executors, successors, assigns, and legal representatives, from any and all claims, demands, rights, liabilities, suits, matters, obligations,

damages, losses, costs, actions and causes of action of every nature and description whatsoever, in law or equity, known or unknown, that the Class Representative ever had against Plaintiffs' Released Parties, as well as any other supplier, manufacturer, distributor, or installer of copper plumbing lines or systems in the Class Representative's homes and their insurers, including claims for penalties, attorneys' fees and costs of such, that arise from the design, installation, repair, or use of copper plumbing lines and systems in the homes and any alleged violations of California Civil Code section 895 et seq. arising from the design, installation, repair, or use of copper plumbing lines and systems. Without limiting the foregoing, and for clarification, excluded from the Settled Class Claims are any *other* construction defects or *other* claims relating to the construction of the homes identified in Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement, against any parties, including Defendants, which are not alleged in the Action.

- Defendants' rights to continue to oppose the merits of the claims in this Action or class treatment of these claims in this case if the Settlement fails to become Final or effective, or in any other case without limitation. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendants, nor is this Order and Final Judgment a finding of the validity of any allegations against Defendants in this proceeding or any wrongdoing by Defendants. Neither the Settlement nor this Final Judgment is a finding that certification of the Class may be construed as or used as an admission by or against Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever.
- 16. Every Participating Settlement Class Member shall be bound by and only take from their Complaint the relief set forth in the Settlement, this Order Granting Final Approval and this Final Judgment. All Participating Settlement Class Members are bound to the Release by Settlement Class Members in favor of Defendants and the other Plaintiffs' Released Parties as set forth in the Settlement, and are permanently barred from prosecuting against Defendants and the other Plaintiffs' Released Parties any and all of Settlement Class Members' Settled Class Claims as defined in the Settlement.
- 17. A copy of this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Settlement and Final Judgment shall, in addition to being available on the Register of Actions [docket] of this action, shall also be

posted on the website established for the Settlement and shall remain on the website for a period of 180 days.

- 18. The Parties shall bear their own respective attorneys' fees and costs except as otherwise provided in the Settlement.
- 19. Upon the Settlement Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Administrator shall calculate within five (5) business days the Net Settlement Fund and shall thereafter distribute the Settlement benefits to Participating Settlement Class Members from the Settlement Fund in accordance with this Order and the Settlement Agreement.
- 20. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h), the Court retains jurisdiction solely for purposes of enforcing the Settlement, this Judgment, addressing settlement administration matters, and addressing such post-Judgment matters as may be appropriate under court rules or applicable law.
- 21. The Court will hold a status conference for a final accounting on **October 3, 2024 at 9:00 a.m.** in Department CX104. Class Counsel shall submit a final report at least nine (9) court days prior to that conference regarding the status of the settlement administration. The final report must include all information necessary for the Court to determine the total amount actually paid to class members and any amounts tendered to the State Controller's Office under Unclaimed Property law.

Lucial

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Dated: December 8, 2023	
	Melissa R. McCormick
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

PROOF OF SERVICE

Brasch v. K. Hovnanian, et al.

Orange County Superior Court Case No.: 30-2013-00649417

I, the undersigned, declare that:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County where the Proof of Service was prepared and my business address is Law Offices of BRIDGFORD, GLEASON & ARTINIAN, 26 Corporate Plaza, Suite 250, Newport Beach, CA 92660.

On the date set forth below, I served the following document(s): [REVISED PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES, LITIGATION COSTS AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE AWARD on the interested party(s):

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

by the following means:

- () **BY MAIL**: By placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am readily familiar with the business practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is processed for collection and mailing it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in Newport Beach, California to the address(es) shown herein.
- () **BY PERSONAL SERVICE**: By placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the recipients herein shown (as set forth on the service list).
- () **BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY:** I served the foregoing document by Overnight Delivery as follows: I placed true copies of the foregoing document in sealed envelopes or packages designated by the express service carrier, addressed to recipients shown herein (as set forth on the service list), with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for.
- (X) BY ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL): I caused a true copy thereof sent via email to the address(s) shown herein.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 7, 2023	/s/Debbie Knipe
	Debbie Knipe

SERVICE LIST

1

2

Brasch v. K. Hovnanian, et al.
Orange County Superior Court Case No.: 30-2013-00649417

3	Bill R. Warne, Esq.	Counsel for Defendants/Cross-
	Meghan M. Baker, Esq.	Complainants
4	DOWNEY BRAND	K. HOVNANIAN ENTERPRISES, INC.
5	621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor	and K. HOVNANIAN COMPANIES OF
5	Sacramento, CA 95814	CALIFORNIA, INC.
6	,	(916) 520-5217 - phone
_		(916) 520-5617 - fax
7		wwarne@downeybrand.com
8		mbaker@downeybrand.com
		tchacon@downeybrand.com
9		cpiazza@downeybrand.com
10	Scott D. Calkins, Esq.	Co-Counsel for Defendants/Cross-
10	Anthony P. Gaeta, Esq.	Complainants
11	COLLINSWORTH, SPECHT, CALKINS	K. HOVNANIAN ENTERPRISES, INC.
	& GIAMPAOLI, LLP	and K. HOVNANIAN COMPANIES OF
12	9665 Chesapeake Drive, Suite 305	CALIFORNIA, INC.
13	San Diego, CA 92123	Telephone: (858) 549-2800
		Fax: (858) 549-3700
14		scalkins@cslawoffices.com agaeta@cslawoffices.com
15		mrios@cslawoffices.com
13	Brian S. Kabateck, Esq.	Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs
16	Richard L. Kellner, Esq.	Telephone: (213) 217-5000
17	KABATECK LLP	Facsimile: (213) 217-5010
17	633 West Fifth Street, Suite 3200	bsk@kbklawyers.com
18	Los Angeles, CA 90017	rlk@kellnerlaw.com
	John Patrick McNicholas, IV, Esq.	Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs
19	Michael J. Kent, Esq.	Telephone: (310) 474-1582
20	McNICHOLAS & McNICHOLAS	Facsimile: (310) 475-7871
20	10866 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1400	pmc@mcnicholaslaw.com
21	Los Angeles, CA 90024	
22	Konrad M. Rasmussen, Esq.	Counsel for Cross-Defendant
22	Nicole Barvie, Esq.	SANTA MARGARITA WATER
23	McCORMICK & MITCHELL	DISTRICT
	8885 Rio San Diego Drive, Suite 227	Telephone: (619) 235-8444
24	San Diego, CA 92108	Fax: (619) 294-8447
25	I Decid Decomposition E	kmr@mmr4law.com
	J. David Bournazian, Esq. K&L GATES LLP	Counsel for Cross-Defendant
26	1 Park Plaza, Twelfth Floor	TRILOGY PLUMBING, INC. Telephone: (949) 623-3589
27	Irvine, CA 92614	Fax: (949) 253-0902
_	11 vine, C/1 /2017	David.bournazian@klgates.com
28		Duria. Oodinazian e Rigatos. Com

1	Lana Halavi, Esq.	Counsel for Cross-Defendant
1	LOCKE LORD LLP	MUELLER STREAMLINE CO.
2	300 South Grand Ave., Suite 2600	Telephone: (213) 485-1500
	Los Angeles, CA 90071	Fax: (213) 485-1200
3		lana.halavi@lockelord.com
4	Ann Marie Walsh, Esq.	Co-Counsel for Cross-Defendant
4	LOCKE LORD LLP	MUELLER STREAMLINE CO.
5	111 South Wacker Drive	Telephone: (312) 443-0700
	Chicago, IL 60606	awalsh@lockelord.com
6	Charles L. Harris, Esq.	Counsel for Cross-Defendant
7	Alexander Stepanek, Esq.	CAMBRIDGE-LEE INDUSTRIES LLC
′	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &	Telephone: (714) 545-9200
8	SMITH, LLP	Fax: (714) 850-1030
	650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1400	<u>Charles.harris@lewisbrisbois.com</u>
9	Costa Mesa, CA 92626	Alexander.stepanek@lewisbrisbois.com
10		Chloe.clark@lewisbrisbois.com
10	Rick L. Shackelford, Esq.	Counsel for Cross-Defendant
11	Adam Siegler, Esq.	NACOBRE USA LLC
10	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	Telephone: (310) 586-7700
12	1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900	Fax: (310) 586-7800
13	Los Angeles, CA 90067-2121	shackelfordr@gtlaw.com
		sieglera@gtlaw.com
14	Temre L. Fischer, Esq.	Counsel for Cross-Defendant
15	LAW OFFICES OF ADRIENNE D.	ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE CO.
13	COHEN	(insurer for RCR PLUMBING &
16	18300 Von Karman Av., Suite 410	MECHANICAL, INC.)
	Irvine, CA 92612	Telephone: (714) 954-0790
17		Fax: (714) 954-0791
18		tlf@adcohen.com
10		